

Note of Meeting with Angus Energy (AE)
 Brockham Oil Site
 8 March 2017
 14.00

Mark Oldridge AE Planning Consultant
 Peter Brady AE Solicitor
 Alan Stones SCC Planning
 Stephen Jenkins `` ``
 Ian Gray `` ``
 Saira Tamboo `` Legal

AS	<p>Welcome</p> <p>High degree of public interest. Members & Officers very unhappy with outcome. Nevertheless, retrospective planning permission would be appropriate response given planning policy position for site.</p> <p>Chair of Planning and Regulatory Committee wishes to write to OGA (Oil and Gas Authority) regarding the site and the actions of AE.</p> <p>Current position? – there is a reference on the (AE) web site regarding production.</p> <p>We would like the following to occur:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 A retrospective application dealing with the sidetrack 2 Prospective works to be the subject of proper discussion 3 Unequivocal commitment from AE to consult with the CPA (County Planning Authority) before any further action
	In relation to production ((MO06/1294) permission is until 2036) but only for BRX1 & BRX2
	BRX4 well head came after (the MO06/1294 planning permission). The side track relates to BRX4 and therefore needs to be a fresh planning application and permission dealing with the sidetrack and production from it.
PB	Need to check when BRX4 was installed
SJ	In 2007 we granted planning permission for the continued production of the site 2036
PB	MO06/1294
	MO07/0161 – approved BRX4
SJ	The drilling of BRX4
PB	Working of site as a whole approved until 2036
AS	Production only for BRX1& BRX2
	Drilling activity up to 2008, but that was for exploration

	Notwithstanding historic activity the CPA considers there is no permission to allow for production from BRX4. There is now a side track where there is no permission for drilling or production.
SJ	Condition 4 of BRX4 permission (MO07/0161) – is time limited - and for exploration only
AS	Nevertheless, there is a presumption in favour of further development of an existing well site (it is not inappropriate development in the Green Belt).
PB	Concerned if AE make application with no sound reasons you can refuse Worried about the Planning Authority , then Council members, then public
PB	Need to understand why going off underground requires separate planning permission
AS	Physically something has happened, case law - another shovel full constitutes development and therefore requires planning permission
PB	Originally you granted planning permission eg.MO06/1294, the permission for well head, I can't find anything to say the borehole must go down in a straight line or to an alignment
AS	More recently Government have changed regulations to include information about the direction of underground drilling
PB	Nothing on planning permission which says why it should go if the bore hole may meander off
AS	That is a new act and a therefore a separate item of development You have control of activity at surface Side tracks will be referred to but here it has not been referred to.
PB	Can we talk about intentions
MO	We (AE) want to produce
AS	You are required to make an application to deal with it (the side track) retrospectively and an application to produce as well
PB	Don't understand why we need that
SJ	BRX4 did not exist then [when MO06/1294 was granted planning permission]
AS	It needs a separate planning permission
AS	The side track is significant
MO	
AS	Will need a screening [opinion under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2011] for BRX4 for (drilling a) side track and production
PB	Need to regularise BRX4 for the side track
AS	BRX4 is there & was drilled got permission post [MO06/1294]. I don't think it has planning permission for production
PB	BRX4 needs to be regularised but no planning issues with it being there. If we (AE) have to regularise BRX4 no issue with side track
MO	I am worried about refusal
AS	Can't guarantee approval nor can the Chairman or Members – each case on its merits
MO	Would like copy of application for BRX4
AS	MO07/0161 was granted after MO06/1294

AS	BRX2 if you are going to produce from there need to apply re: changes of equipment
MO	What was BRX3
SJ	Water injection well [from BRX1] BRX2 is production well Another side track would require planning application Separate applications
PB	Is drilling fixed plant
AS	Drilling is development as such
SJ	It is fixed for a temporary period
MO	4 weeks
MO	Temp cabin, 2 mobile kit (go in by the end of week) Check tidy, invite IG and SM next week Then retrospective planning application can be made
AS	We need you (AE) to give an unequivocal agreement not to do anything without the relevant permission. Angus has Blotted it's copy book and there will be great public criticism.
MO	If no activity I shall continue to send email on Mondays to say there is no activity
AS	Chairman of Planning & Regulatory will write to OGA in any event.
PB	We (AE) will write to you in detail regarding what will happen on site.
MO	I am struggling with documents.
AS	If you are able to give information in principle detail can come afterwards
MO	Cabins & fence What if I submitted "detail under condition" letter with relevant details instead of full planning app
AS	Can do that but it cannot be retrospective, has to be prior to works. We have dealt with this before and if not prior there must be a planning application. The Authority is very unhappy that the proper planning process hasn't been followed. It is not helpful for the public.
PB	MO92/0969
SJ	5 Wells original 2, 2 side tracks 5 came after BRX1. Wells including side tracks you (AE) are drilling fresh formations.
AS	Timescale for responses - will be expecting something/action next week
PB	Considering this as urgent. Can put in writing what we are in a position to do – subject to Client's instructions.
MO	Would also like to see the plans form files before we go further. Records are incomplete.